Assessment of Breast Lesions With Diffusion-Weighted MRI: Comparing the Use of Different b Values

OBJECTIVE. Our purpose was to study the utility of diffusion-weighted MRI in differentiating benign from malignant breast lesions by assessing the best b values.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS. Forty-five women (mean age, 46.1 years) with 52 focal mass breast lesions underwent diffusion-weighted imaging with different b values. The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value of each lesion was calculated from the ADC maps done using five b values (0, 250, 500, 750, and 1,000 s/mm$^2$) and using b values of 0 s/mm$^2$ with each other b value separately (0 and 250 s/mm$^2$, 0 and 500 s/mm$^2$, 0 and 750 s/mm$^2$, 0 and 1,000 s/mm$^2$). The mean ADC values were correlated with imaging findings and histopathologic diagnoses. The cutoff ADC value, sensitivity, and specificity of diffusion-weighted imaging to differentiate benign and malignant lesions were calculated in all b value combinations. A p value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS. The mean ADC value was significantly lower for malignant lesions compared to benign lesions (p < 0.0001) in all b value combinations. No statistical difference was seen between the ADC obtained from different b value combinations (p = 0.2581) in the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions. The ADC calculated from b 0 and 750 s/mm$^2$ was slightly better than the other b value combinations, showing a sensitivity of 92.3% and a specificity of 96.2%.

CONCLUSION. Diffusion-weighted imaging is a potential resource as a coadjutant of MRI in the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions. Such imaging can be performed without a significant increase in examination time, especially because it can be done with lower b values.
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RI has high sensitivity (89–100%) but lacks specificity for characterizing benign breast tumors [1–5]. An overlap between the MRI findings of benign and malignant lesions still exists, resulting in variable specificity (50–90%) [3, 6–8]. This is caused by the false-positives related to the menstrual cycle, hormonal therapy, proliferative alterations, fibroadenomas, and papillomas. Because of this confounding overlap, in some cases it is not possible to make the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant lesions on the basis of conventional MRI features [9, 10]. Hence, several studies have investigated the role of advanced MRI techniques, such as diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), to improve the specificity of MRI for the evaluation of breast lesions [9, 11–15].

DWI derives its image contrast from differences in the motion of water molecules (Brownian motion) in tissues. DWI is sensitive to the self-diffusion of water protons. As a result, it provides quantitative and qualitative information that reflects changes at a cellular level and unique insights about tumor cellularity and integrity of cell membranes. By using the DWI sequence, one can calculate the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), a quantitative measure that is directly proportional to the water diffusion [16]. High cell proliferation in malignant tumors increases cellular density, creating more barriers to the extracellular water diffusion, reducing the ADC, and resulting in signal loss. This sequence appears to be a useful tool for tumor detection and characterization [12], as well as for monitoring and predicting treatment response [17].

Recent studies have proven the potential of ADC to differentiate breast tumors [6, 11, 12]. These studies used different b values, varying from 0 to 1,000 s/mm$^2$, and found a significant difference of the ADC value between...
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malignant and benign lesions, with a sensitivity ranging from 81% to 93% and specificity from 80% to 88%, for an ADC cutoff of 1.1–1.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/s. On clinical MRI scanners, diffusion sensitivity is easily altered by changing the parameter known as the b value, which is mainly proportional to the gradient amplitude and duration [17]. The images acquired with low b values are less diffusion-weighted because they use less gradient. On the other hand, the high b value images are strongly diffusion-weighted but have lower signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The diffusion sensitivity is also affected by perfusion when low b values are used, producing higher ADC values.

DWI is typically performed using at least two b values to enable a meaningful interpretation. In theory, the error in ADC calculation can be reduced by using more b values. However, the more b values used, the longer the DWI sequence will be. Moreover, no consensus exists as to how many and which b values should be used in differentiating benign and malignant breast lesions using DWI.

This study aims to confirm the role of DWI for the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant breast lesions and to assess the best b values to be used for this differentiation.

Subjects and Methods

Study Population

From August 2007 to June 2008, this study prospectively enrolled 50 women with 57 focal breast lesions. Exclusion criteria were nonmass-like enhancement due to diffuse tumor spread and partial volume effect [13, 18]; benign cysts, because they do not present a diagnostic difficulty and their high ADC would artificially increase the presence of nonenhancing internal septations in a smooth or lobulated mass is highly specific for the diagnosis of fibroadenoma (93–97% specificity) [24, 25]. The mean size of benign lesions was 1.68 cm (range, 0.8–4.7 cm). In addition, after the 1-year follow-up with mammography or sonography, no significant modifications were seen in the imaging patterns of these benign lesions.

The study was approved by our institutional review board and all patients gave their informed consent.

MRI Acquisition

All MRI examinations were performed on a 1.5-T MR System (Signa Excite HD, GE Healthcare) with a bilateral 8-channel breast coil. Before DWI, standard sequences were acquired, including an axial T1-weighted spin-echo sequence (TR/TE, 370/15; echo-train length, 2; bandwidth, 41.67 MHz; matrix size, 512 × 256; field of view, 340 mm; number of signals averaged, 16; slice thickness, 5 mm; intersection gap, 0 mm), axial STIR sequence (4,100/85; inversion time, 150 milliseconds; echo-train length, 17; bandwidth, 41.67 MHz; matrix size, 512 × 256; field of view, 340 mm; number of signals averaged, 1; slice thickness, 5 mm; intersection gap, 0 mm), axial T2-weighted fast spin-echo sequence (4,200/85; echo-train length, 19; bandwidth, 22.7 MHz; matrix size, 320 × 224; field of view, 220 mm; number of signals averaged, 2; slice thickness, 5 mm; intersection gap, 0 mm), and axial T1-weighted 3D fat-suppressed fast spoiled gradient-echo sequence (flip angle, 15°; bandwidth, 62.5 MHz; matrix size, 352 × 352; field of view, 350 mm; slice thickness, 1 mm; intersection gap, 0 mm) before and four times after the rapid injection of a bolus of 0.1 mmol/L of gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem, Guerbet) per kilogram of body weight followed by 20 mL of saline solution. After the examination, the unenhanced images were subtracted from the first and last contrast-enhanced images.

In addition, there were 26 benign lesions, of which six showed histopathologic results: fibroadenoma (n = 3), epidermoid cyst (n = 1), granulomatous intramammary lymph node (n = 1), and papilloma (n = 1). We also included 20 lesions classified as BI-RADS [20] category 2 by MRI to increase the benign lesion sample and to identify more reliable and representative ADC values. The diagnoses were defined by consensus of two experienced breast radiologists who had 11 and 8 years of experience. According to literature [21–23], the following criteria were considered predictive of benign disease: lobulated shape, smooth border, internal septations that do not enhance, the absence of enhancement, or enhancement less than that of the surrounding breast tissue. The presence of nonenhancing internal septations in a smooth or lobulated mass is highly specific for the diagnosis of fibroadenoma (93–97% specificity) [24, 25]. The mean size of benign lesions was 1.68 cm (range, 0.8–4.7 cm). In addition, after the 1-year follow-up with mammography or sonography, no significant modifications were seen in the imaging patterns of these benign lesions.

The study was approved by our institutional review board and all patients gave their informed consent.

MRI Analysis and Data Collection

All images were transferred to a workstation (Advantage Windows version 4.2.07, GE Healthcare) and the DWI sequence was postprocessed with commercial software (FunTool, GE Healthcare) to obtain ADC maps (black-and-white and color, the latter with a Puig-thallium color scheme, ranging from black, diffusion restriction, to red, no diffusion restriction). The ADC maps of each lesion were calculated using five b values (0, 250, 500, 750, and 1,000 s/mm²) and also using two b values, the b 0 s/mm² with each other b value separately (0 and 250 s/mm², 0 and 500 s/mm², 0 and 750 s/mm², and 0 and 1,000 s/mm²).

To achieve standardized conditions for analyses and to avoid contamination of the data by adjacent structures, two circular regions of interest (ROIs) having a mean diameter of 61 mm² (range, 40–94 mm²) were individually placed in the target lesion in the same location as the five ADC maps cited above, and the average ADC was acquired for each b value combination. Apparent necrotic or cystic components were avoided by referring to conventional MR images.

Statistical Analysis

The data collected for this study included patient age, lesion size, BI-RADS classification, histopathologic result, ADC calculated with different b value combinations, and ROI sizes.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was used to verify the fit of the variables age, tumor size, and ADC values to a normal distribution. All variables did not reject the hypothesis of normality, with a 5% significance level. The Student’s t test was used to compare the means of age, tumor size, and ADC values in accordance with the benign or malignant diagnosis of the lesions. Before the t test, the Levene test had confirmed the equality of variances from these independent variables with a 5% significance level. The linear correlation between the five b value combinations (0, 250, 500, 750, and 1,000 s/mm², 0 and 250 s/mm², 0 and 500 s/mm², 0 and 750 s/mm², and 0 and 1,000 s/mm²) was tested using Spearman’s non-parametric coefficient.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was carried out to determine a suitable ADC
value cutoff for each b value combination, so that benign and malignant lesions could be differentiatied. The nonparametric distribution was the hypothesis used for the ROC curve. The comparison between the ROC curves was obtained through chi-square in the roccomp function. The best cutoff value was determined by Youden statistics—Y = sensitivity – (1 – specificity)—which allows a balance between good results of sensitivity and specificity. The highest value in Youden statistics indicates the best cutoff point and, consequently, the best sensitivity and specificity. CIs for sensitivity and specificity, using Wilson’s formula, at level 95% were shown.

The statistical software (SPSS, version 16.0) was used for statistical analyses. Comparison of the ROC curves was done with commercial software (Stata 10.0 software). CIs for proportions were provided by software package DiagnosisMed from free software R, version 2.8.0 (CRAN, 2008).

All the variables that reached a significant p value (< 0.05) in the Student’s t test independent samples, in the Spearman’s correlation, and in the chi-square function were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The mean ADC obtained from malignant breast lesions (mean, 0.68–1.25 ± 0.25–0.28 [SD] × 10−3 mm2/s) was significantly lower than that observed in benign lesions (mean, 1.44–1.77 ± 0.31–0.44 × 10−3 mm2/s) in all b value combinations (p < 0.0001), whether five b values or a b value of 0 s/mm2 was used with each other b value separately to calculate the ADC (Table 1).

All the b value combinations used to calculate the ADC showed high sensitivity and specificity for the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions, with no significant difference (p = 0.258/1) (Table 2, Figs. 1 and 2). The ADC calculated from b values of 0 and 750 s/mm2 was slightly better than the other b value combinations. Considering a cutoff value of 1.24 × 10−3 mm2/s for ADC calculated from b values of 0 and 750 s/mm2, one of 26 benign lesions (papilloma) and two of 26 malignant lesions (mucinous colloid carcinoma and malignant phyllodes tumors) would be misdiagnosed, with a sensitivity of 92.3% and a specificity of 96.2%. The ADC calculated from b values of 0 and 250 s/mm² was the worst in the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions, showing a sensitivity of 84.6% and a specificity of 80.8%, with a cutoff value of 1.52 × 10−3 mm²/s.

The Spearman’s correlations between the ADCs obtained with the five different b value combinations were positive and statistically significant (p < 0.0001), showing coefficients greater than 0.90. The highest coefficients obtained were 0.98 by the correlation between the five b values and b 0 and 1,000 s/mm², 0.96 by the correlation between b 0 and 750 s/mm² and b 0 and 1,000 s/mm², and 0.95 by the correlation between the five b values and b 0 and 750 s/mm². The lowest coefficient was 0.89, calculated by the correlation between b 0 and 250 s/mm² and both b 0 and 750 s/mm².

**Discussion**

In this study, we evaluated the role of DWI in distinguishing benign from malignant breast lesions and assessed the best b values to be used for the differentiation. The mean ADC value of the benign lesions was significantly lower than that of the malignant lesions for all b value combinations studied. Moreover, no statistical difference was seen in the ADC values calculated from the different b value combinations studied.

DWI reflects changes in water molecule mobility caused by alterations of the tissue environment due to a pathologic process. Therefore, measurement of the motion of water molecules can provide an additional feature that may further increase the specificity of the MRI classification of breast lesions. Prior studies with breast MRI and DWI have shown promising results in differentiating benign and malignant lesions, with sensitivity ranging from 81% to 93% and specificity from 80% to 88.5% [6, 11–14, 26, 27]. Our results corroborate those of previous studies. We obtained ADC values calculated from different b value combinations, and all of them revealed a statistically significant difference between benign and malignant lesions.

However, there are still no studies in literature suggesting the best b values to be used when calculating the ADC of normal and abnormal breast tissue. The b value chosen can interfere with diffusion sensitivity because the water molecules with a large degree of motion (e.g., in the intravascular space) will show signal attenuation with small b values. For this reason, the ADC is strongly affected by vascular perfusion in the case of small b values, and it tends to be higher when measured.
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only with small b values [10, 11, 13, 15, 28]. By contrast, it is clear that in high b values, the SNR is decreased [10, 15], which can also interfere with the ADC. In addition, although in theory an ADC calculation error might be reduced by using more b values [17], no previous studies have tested this hypothesis when assessing DWI of the breast.

In our study, in comparing the ADC calculated from different b values, lower b values showed a higher ADC and vice versa. Nevertheless, there was no statistical difference between the ADC of different b value combinations in the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions. The ADC calculated from b 0 and 750 s/mm² was slightly better than the others. These findings suggest that the higher b values are useful to distinguish benign from malignant lesions and that there is no need to use multiple b values in the DWI sequence, saving examination time.

According to the diagnostic criteria used here, all fibroadenomas and invasive carcinomas were appropriately classified by the ADC, including two fibroadenomas misclassified as suspected malignancy by MRI. These results indicate that ADC would be effective in the distinction between fibroadenomas and invasive carcinomas. This should be helpful in lesion characterization because fibroadenomas are known to have characteristics that overlap with malignant lesions in both ultrasound and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI studies [15, 29, 30].

Our results confirmed that the mean ADC of breast tumors correlates well with tumor cellularity, even when evaluating the false-positives and false-negatives. Malignant breast tumors have a higher cellularity and a lower ADC than benign breast tumors. Hence, a malignant tumor with low cellularity, such as the malignant...
phyllodes tumor with cystic areas seen in our series, which shows high ADC, consequently was misdiagnosed as benign. A carcinoma with a very high signal intensity on T2-weighted images—such as mucinous colloid carcinoma—resulted in misleading ADC values because of a lower cell density and higher extracellular water content [31, 32]. Conversely, a benign tumor with high cellularity, such as the papilloma seen in our study, showed low ADC and led to the misdiagnosis of malignancy.

Our study had some limitations. First, patient movement during the acquisition of the DWI sequences leads to wrong ADC values. The longer acquisition time caused by the use of more b values may lead to patient activity. In our study, the DWI sequence performed with five b values took 3:44 minutes and that done with two b values took 56 seconds, the latter presenting a lower probability of problems related to patient movement. In addition, even under optimal circumstances, DWI can fail to categorize breast lesions because of the limited capability of recognizing small lesions on the ADC maps, especially when smaller than 1 cm. When lesions cannot be visualized on DWI, the exact localization of the ROI on the ADC map is difficult to determine. Finally, our series is relatively small for using different b value combinations; further studies should consider increasing the sample number to improve the statistical power.

Despite these limitations, DWI of the breast provides additional information to characterize focal breast lesions in a fast and easy way. In this series, we obtained sensitivity and specificity as high as 92% and 96%, respectively, with a cutoff ADC value of $1.24 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s ($b = 0$ and 750 s/mm$^2$) for the diagnosis of benign and malignant lesions.

Fig. 2—40-year-old woman with invasive ductal carcinoma in right breast. A–F, Axial maximum intensity projection of contrast-enhanced T1-weighted 3D spoiled gradient-echo image, first phase, submitted to subtraction technique (A) and axial black-and-white apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps obtained from five b values (0, 250, 500, 750, and 1,000 s/mm$^2$) (B), 0 and 250 s/mm$^2$ (C), 0 and 500 s/mm$^2$ (D), 0 and 750 s/mm$^2$ (E), 0 and 1,000 s/mm$^2$ (F) show enhancing irregular mass, with increased signal loss on ADC maps, which means restricted diffusion.
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Thus, by combining ADC measurements and dynamic studies with interpretation of enhancement patterns, the latter known to have good sensitivity but variable specificity for characterizing lesions, the overall accuracy of MRI can be increased, reducing unnecessary invasive procedures. Nevertheless, further studies with larger populations are needed to confirm the use of DWI in the evaluation of breast lesions.

In addition, our study showed similar results when using two or five b values to calculate the ADC for the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions. This is important because the sequence with fewer b values can save examination time and reduce the probability of movement artifacts.
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